

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/937,534		09/26/2001	Stefan Bracht	F-7125 9613		
28107	7590	04/14/2003				
		MBURG LLP	EXAMINER			
122 EAST 421 SUITE 4000			YOUNG, MICAH PAUL			
NEW YORK,	NY 10	168		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
				1615	12	
				DATE MAILED: 04/14/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/937,534	BRACHT, STEFAN	
Advisory Addion	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Micah-Paul Young	1615	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 03 April 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av- final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applicated a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply n places the applica	y to a ition in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office finely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejecting FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the apprount of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final	on. See MPEP opriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be			
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	·	see NOTE below);	
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	• •	dalli, aadi, da a aa da	and the sign of the co
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	nplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancell	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	S.
NOTE:			
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	on(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: Sec	reconsideration has been consideration Sheet.	dered but does NO	T place the
 The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	e newly
 For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we 			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	,	•	ner.
 Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer 	it(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·	
0. Other:			
		Micah-Paul Young Examiner Art Unit: 1615	

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The claims as have been amended still do not overcome the art. Applicant arugues that though the cited refernces (Baker et al , Yamaguchi et al , and Majeti) present transdermal and/or transmucosal nicotine fromulations comprising menthol and the like, they do not teach monoterpene ketones as aromatic agents. Baker discloses carvone and 1-menthol which are within the scope of the invention as monoterpene and monoterpene ketones. The supporting references provide the interchangeabilty of the components; Yamaguchi shows 1-menthol in a transdermal patch, and Majetic shows menthol as an aromatic in a patch at a particular concnetration. Majeti also shows the commonallity and simularities of transdermal and transmucosal formulations as known in the art.

THURMAN K. PAGE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY/CENTER 1600